

**GENESEE TRANSPORTATION COUNCIL  
PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING  
Rochester Water Bureau  
10 Felix Street, Rochester**

**May 12, 2011**

PLANNING COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

Erik Frisch, City of Rochester – At Large  
Timothy Hens, Genesee County  
Paul Holahan, City of Rochester  
Kristen Mark Hughes, Ontario County (Chairperson)  
Todd Gadd, Wyoming County  
Tom Goodwin, Monroe County  
Andrea Guzzetta, Rochester City Council  
James Fletcher, Monroe County – At Large  
Daniel Hollowell, New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) – Region 4  
Scott Leathersich, Monroe County – At Large (Vice Chairperson)  
Terrence J. Rice, Monroe County  
Kevin Rooney, Wayne County  
David Zorn, Genesee/Finger Lakes Regional Planning Council (G/FLRPC)

ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT

Richard Beers, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), representing Robert Griffith,  
David Cook, Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Authority (RGRTA), representing Mark Aesch  
Don Higgins, Livingston County, representing Angela Ellis

PLANNING COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT AND UNREPRESENTED

Douglas Tokarczyk, New York State Thruway Authority (NYSTA)  
Robert Colby, Monroe County  
Peter McCann, Monroe County Supervisors' Association  
Edward Muszynski, Empire State Development Corporation  
C. Mitchell Rowe, Seneca County  
Henry Smith, Jr., Orleans County  
Steven Urlass, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)  
(Vacant), Federal Transportation Administration (FTA)  
(Vacant), NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC)  
(Vacant), Rochester City Planning Commission  
(Vacant), Yates County

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

David Dunning, Town of Chili  
John Polimeni, NYSDOT – Region 4  
Jim Pond, Monroe County  
Richard Perrin, GTC staff  
Jody Pollot, GTC staff  
James Stack, GTC staff

**1. Call to Order & Introductions**

The meeting was called to order at 10:03 a.m. Kris Hughes welcomed everyone and Members, Alternates, and others present introduced themselves.

**2. Public Forum**

No one from the public spoke during the Public Forum.

Kris Hughes suggested that the agenda be revised to move item 5.e., action concerning consideration of a Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) scope of work, forward in the agenda. No member or alternate objected.

**5. Action Items**

e. Action concerning consideration of a UPWP Project Scope of Work

- 1. **Task 6525 – Black Creek Stream Corridor Trail Feasibility Study** (Town of Chili)

David Dunning, the Town of Chili Supervisor, presented the project scope of work. He reviewed the impetus for the study and discussed participating agencies and the formation of a steering committee. He noted this project directly ties into the goals of the local universities and colleges to increase connectivity through the local trail system.

Jim Pond noted the Genesee Valley Greenway Trail currently has a gap in the project area. This study may help close that gap in the trail system.

Supervisor Dunning agreed noting that the Town has also identified this issue and it will be considered as part of the study.

**Erik Frisch moved to recommend approval of the scope of work for Task 6525 – Black Creek Stream Corridor Trail Feasibility Study; Scott Leathersich seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

**3. Approval of Minutes**

**Terry Rice moved for approval of the minutes from the April 14, 2011 Planning Committee meeting; David Cook seconded the motion. The minutes were approved as presented.**

**4. Reports and Action on Old Business**

a. Reports on UPWP Projects and Other Activities

*GTC*

Richard Perrin reported:

- The Supplemental Professional Services – Database Programming project will be

- presented for recommendation to the GTC Board as agenda item 5.c.1.
- With regard to GTC Strategic Planning, GTC staff continue to monitor developments related to the next surface transportation authorization legislation. There are a number of items on this front as the House, Senate, and Administration are all in various stages of creating drafts of the legislation. In addition, staff are developing a three-pronged approach to improving the development and management of the TIP with the three elements being project selection, delivery, and addition.
- The *Long Range Transportation Plan for the Genesee-Finger Lakes Region 2035 (LRTP 2035)* will be presented for recommendation to the GTC Board as agenda item 5.a.
- With regard to the Air Quality Planning and Outreach task, the conformity statement for the *LRTP 2035* will be presented for recommendation to the GTC Board as agenda item 5.b. GTC staff will participate in a Plug-in Electric Vehicle Feasibility Study that Genesee Region Clean Communities will be advancing.
- The kickoff meeting for the GTC Household Travel Survey was held May 2. The consultant is developing the survey for a pre-test in June/July prior to conducting the full survey in September/October.
- The Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Strategic Plan Update was recommended for Board adoption by the Planning Committee at its April 14 meeting.
- There is no progress to report for the Diversion Route Planning Initiative.
- The Priority Trails Advancement Program consists of two projects. Alternatives have been developed for the Route 104 - Ontario to Sodus Trail and a Steering Committee meeting will be scheduled to review them. The Pultneyville to Marion Trail will restart this summer as consultant personnel changes have been finalized.
- The update of the Congestion Management Process (CMP) is included in the draft *LRTP 2035* with recommendations that directly address delay noted as such in the associated document. GTC staff continues to scope extended CMP activities and have begun the development of a congestion monitoring plan and graduated scale of delay.
- The consultant is progressing the development of the beta version of the website for the Greater Rochester Regional Commuter Choice Program and working through use agreements for various data.
- With regard to the Travel Time Data Collection Program, the second round of data collection on Principal Arterials was recommended for Board adoption by the Planning Committee at its April 14 meeting. GTC staff is evaluating the change in travel times on Principal Arterials between the two phases as part of the CMP graduated scale of delay.
- With regard to the Coordinated Public Transit/Human Services Transportation Plan Update, alternatives have been developed based on the public comments and Steering Committee feedback. These will be provided to the Steering Committee by May 20. The second round of public meetings has been scheduled for June 1 in Batavia and June 2 in Canandaigua and Rochester to solicit comments. The Steering Committee will meet on June 3 to review the alternatives and associated public comments.
- The first Steering Committee meeting for the Regional Goods Movement Strategy was held on April 26. The Regional Economic and Freight Profile will be finalized

based on comments from the meeting and those submitted by May 13. Focus groups are being scheduled for mid-June. The draft needs assessment and associated performance measures are being drafted and the Steering Committee will meet on June 15 to discuss them.

On April 27, the Greater Rochester Active Transportation Symposium was held in Downtown Rochester. The symposium was organized by a working group led by the Monroe County Department of Planning and Development and sponsored in part by GTC and the Rochester Cycling Alliance. Rochelle Bell led the development of the symposium and was a major reason for its success. Bob Torzynski of GTC was instrumental in the planning and execution of the program. With nearly 200 attendees, this full-day event brought together a diverse group of attendees from government, colleges and universities, and community organizations to discuss how active transportation can be promoted and encouraged to improve quality of life and public health. GTC has been charged with continuing the momentum from that event by developing an initiative to bring together all stakeholders to further plan and implement the ideas that were identified at the symposium. GTC staff are currently developing a work plan to accomplish this.

Paul Holahan noted there is support from elected officials to implement the improvements discussed at the symposium.

Richard added that GTC staff are also working towards reconvening the Regional Planning Working Group that was formed to consider submitting an application for the USDOT/HUD/EPA Sustainable Communities Planning Grant last summer. A meeting of this group will be scheduled either in June or July based on the availability of the group's members. The emphasis will be on not only considering submitting an application should another round of the program occur but also on low-cost actions that can be implemented to improve regional coordination.

Terry Rice noted that Tom Goodwin will be taking the lead for Monroe County on the Regional Goods Movement Strategy.

#### *G/FLRPC*

Dave Zorn reported:

- With regard to the 2010 Census TAZ Analysis and Revisions project, G/FLRPC staff is continuing the TAZ update in the Census Bureau's required format.
- G/FLRPC staff is finalizing follow-up data collection activities for the 2010 Regional Land Use Monitoring Report and has begun drafting sections of the report.

#### *Livingston County*

Don Higgins reported:

- Consultant selection for the Safe Passing Zone study is underway and the project

is expected to be completed in the fall.

- The scope of work for the Livingston County Transportation Connectivity Plan was approved at the April 14 Planning Committee meeting and a request for proposals (RFP) is being developed. Richard Perrin noted that the Ithaca-Tompkins County Transportation Council has offered to share the RFP for a similar study they are conducting; hopefully, Livingston County can adopt some their language and concepts for similar work tasks.

### *Monroe County*

Tom Goodwin reported:

- Data collection for the Monroe County Land Use Monitoring Report is nearly complete. Only one municipality has not yet responded but they are expected to do so by the end of the day.
- The Monroe County Audible/Tactile Pedestrian Signal Device Study will be presented for recommendation to the GTC Board as agenda item 5.c.2.
- The Monroe County Vertical Curve Safety Study is being wrapped up and the closeout presentation is expected at the July meeting.
- The consultant for the Monroe County Sign Inventory Location Upgrade has collected the necessary data and Monroe County is working closely with the consultant to integrate the data into the database.
- The RFP for the Monroe County Horizontal Curve Sign Study will be advertised in the New York State Contract Reporter soon.
- As part of the Monroe County High Accident Location Program, two additional sites have been analyzed. The project is 34 percent complete.
- Bergmann Associates has been selected as the consultant for the Monroe County Accident Rate Database GIS Conversion project.

### *Ontario County*

Kris Hughes reported:

- The scope of work for the Routes 5 & 20 and State Route 364 Multi-Modal Study is being developed and is expected to be presented at the July meeting.

### *City of Rochester*

Erik Frisch reported:

- The consultant for the Center City Tourist/Visitor Circulation and Pedestrian Wayfinding Study has completed the inventory and analysis. The next Project Advisory Committee meeting will be held on June 2 and the first public meeting will be held in mid-June.
- The Center City Circulator Study will be presented as agenda item 5.c.3.
- Regarding the City of Rochester Urban Trail Linkages Feasibility Study, seven proposals have been received and a preferred consultant has been identified. City Council is expected to approve the consultant on May 25.
- The Project Advisory Committee for the Susan B. Anthony Neighborhood Parking

and Circulation Study met on May 11, comments on the draft report are due back May 25. A short parking survey has been sent to residents. The final public meeting will be held in late-June.

- The existing conditions analysis for the St. Paul and North Clinton Two-Way Conversion Study is underway.
- There is no progress to report on the Mt. Read Boulevard Corridor Study.

### *RGRTA*

David Cook reported:

- Nelson Nygaard has been selected as the consultant for the Suburban Transit Station Study. The contract has been executed and the steering committee will meet in June.
- The RTS Signal Prioritization Study is currently being finalized. A close out presentation is expected this summer.
- There is no progress to report on the RGRTA Transit-Supportive Development Guidelines project.
- The RGRTA Route Analysis project is ongoing.

### *Wayne County*

Kevin Rooney reported:

- The draft report for the Cluster Development Enhancement Project Feasibility study has been sent to the steering committee for review and comment.
- The Wayne County Safe Passing Zone Survey was recommended for Board adoption by the Planning Committee at its April 14 meeting.

### *Other Agencies*

Richard Perrin reported:

- Regarding the Auburn Trail/Ontario Pathways Trail Connection Feasibility Study the Town, GTC, and consultant met on May 11 to discuss the project and conduct a field visit of the study area.
- There is no progress to report on the Irondequoit Seneca Multi-Use Trail Feasibility Study.
- The scope of work for the Black Creek Stream Corridor Trail Feasibility Study was presented earlier in the meeting.
- The Genesee County Central Corridor Plan will be presented at the July Planning Committee meeting.
- With regard to the Victor Transportation Systems Plan, the steering committee for the Town of Victor Comprehensive Plan has begun meeting regularly again and the UPWP-funded transportation component is nearly complete.

b. Any Other Old Business or Announcements

1. Proposed federal legislation update and discussion

Richard Perrin provided the following report:

The House of Representatives, Senate, and Administration are all in various phases of drafting the next surface transportation authorization. At present, the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee (T&I) is expecting to release its version later this month or in early-June. The Senate Environment and Public Works Committee (EPW) has stated that their version is approximately 80 percent complete. An unofficial draft of the Administration's proposal was made public earlier this month. The White House has stated that this bill is not to be considered an official version of legislation to be proposed by the Administration as it has not received approval from the Office of Management and Budget since, like previous policy statements on authorization (including the President's FFY 2012 budget proposal), it does not identify how the programs contained in it would be funded.

All three proposals are being drafted as six-year bills, but Senator Max Baucus – Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee – is interested in discussing a two- or three-year bill. All three would also consolidate existing highway and transit programs. Only the unofficial draft Administration proposal includes details on program consolidation as the others are still being developed. It is garnering attention from the House T&I and Senate EPW regarding its contents to see what may serve their purposes as well. Similar to intimations by the House T&I and Senate EPW, the unofficial draft Administration proposal includes an emphasis on achieving defined outcomes that would be monitored and reported based on performance measures.

MPOs would be greatly affected. They would be split into two tiers: Tier I MPOs would be those areas with a population of one million or more. Tier II MPOs would be those with a population of 200,000 to 999,999. It is unclear as to what tier GTC would be classified as, and would depend on whether the population of the Rochester Transportation Management Area, the Census-defined Metropolitan Statistical Area, or the nine-county Genesee-Finger Lakes Region is recognized. MPOs under 200,000 would be dissolved – these MPOs account for 199 of the 381 in existence.

If considered a Tier I MPO, GTC would have to develop LRTPs, UPWPs, and TIPs and be subject to performance-based decision making. If considered a Tier II MPO, GTC would have to develop LRTPs, UPWPs, and TIPs but would be stripped of official project selection authority in TIPs since the Surface Transportation Program would be eliminated and consolidated into the "Flexible Investment Program." Projects and programs funded in the TIP would be chosen by states in cooperation with Tier II MPOs. Performance based LRTPs and TIPs may be required of Tier II MPOs at the discretion of the USDOT Secretary.

Richard continued that all of this is still very much subject to debate and some observers are considering the unofficial draft Administration proposal to have been leaked intentionally to see how the policy ideas would be received by the House, Senate, states, and metropolitan areas.

Terry Rice asked if the six-year proposals are retroactive to the end of SAFETEA-LU or going forward. Richard responded that he expects they from the time of enactment based on the revenue projections being used.

Richard stated that at the joint NYSDOT-Association of NYSMPOs meeting in Utica on May 6, forecasts for the new allocations are reduced from what was used to program the *2011-2014 TIP*. This may require tweaking existing projects.

Terry asked if anyone had heard about a "TIGER 3" program. Erik Frisch responded that he has heard there will be some type of program but that it would be smaller than TIGER 2.

Terry noted during the previous funding cycles of the TIGER grants regions are not allowed to resubmit a project that has already been submitted for the grant in the previous round. If this rule is carried over for TIGER 3 it will affect the projects that are allowed to be submitted.

## 5. Action Items

- a. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **adopting the *Long Range Transportation Plan for the Genesee-Finger Lakes Region 2035*** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-51.

Richard Perrin discussed the proposed changes to the *Long Range Transportation Plan for the Genesee-Fingers Lakes Region 2035 (LTRP 2035)* from the version that was provided for public review. GTC staff are currently working on preparing the final draft of the *LRTP 2035* for GTC Board consideration.

Two rounds of public involvement were conducted including eight public meetings and approximately three months for submittal of comments. The last public involvement period ended Friday, April 29, 2011. Based on comment received during the public involvement periods GTC staff is preparing specific edits to the document for the Board's consideration.

Richard summarized the proposed revisions based on public input and GTC staff review as follows:

- Create a stronger connection between the recommendations and the emerging opportunities and issues;
- Emphasize the impacts of fiscal constraint on the recommendations that can be included;
- Incorporate the results of the New York State Energy Plan analysis; and
- Add the summary of public comments received and the LRTP Non-Air Environmental Scan as appendices.

With respect to the public comments received, Richard called specific attention to the proposal submitted by the Center for Disability Rights to improve paratransit services, and their request that GTC support the proposal. GTC staff has evaluated



the proposal and have determined that it should be considered as part of the implementation of the recommendation to design and implement a mobility management program that coordinates existing and future services of public (including Liftline), not-for-profit, and private transportation providers in the immediate term (2011-2014). Making a commitment (i.e., signing on to this proposal) prior to conducting the necessary planning and design process for the program would be irresponsible as alternative options would not be able to be considered within the larger strategic context. The Committee concurred.

David Cook noted that Lift Line service is driven by the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). The Center for Disability Rights is critical of the enhanced services that exceed the requirements of ADA.

**Terry Rice moved to recommend approval of the *Long Range Transportation Plan for the Genesee-Finger Lakes Region 2035*; Jim Fletcher seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

- b. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **adopting the *Transportation Conformity Statement for the Long Range Transportation Plan for the Genesee-Finger Lakes Region 2035 and the 2011-2014 Transportation Improvement Program*** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-52

Richard Perrin noted at the April 14, 2011 Planning Committee meeting the draft *Transportation Conformity Statement for the Long Range Transportation Plan for the Genesee-Finger Lakes Region 2035 and the 2011-2014 Transportation Improvement Program* was approved for the 30-day public comment period pending Interagency Consultation Group (IGC) concurrence.

The IGC concurred with the draft conformity statement on Friday, April 22. The 30-day public review period is being conducted from Monday, April 25 to Tuesday, May 24 with a public meeting held May 3. Given that the conformity statement is an analysis of previous decisions, public comments must be of a technical nature to affect GTC Board action.

The draft conformity statement is a compliance document to satisfy federal requirements and does not establish any new policy or investment decisions. As with previous conformity determinations, GTC chose to use the "less than 2002" test. Through 2035, Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) will decrease 85 percent. Richard noted the analysis also demonstrates that the *L RTP 2035* would pass the more stringent "build/no-build" test in 2035 as well.

GTC staff are requesting Planning Committee recommendation to the GTC Board at this time, subject to completion of the 30-day public review period.

**Jim Fletcher moved to recommend approval of the *Transportation Conformity Statement for the Long Range Transportation Plan for the Genesee-Finger Lakes Region 2035 and the 2011-2014 Transportation Improvement Program*; Scott Leathersich seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

Richard Perrin introduced a new agenda item, recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the *FY 2011-2012 UPWP to reflect the contribution of actual FY 2010-2011 rollover amounts*** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-88

Richard distributed a draft Resolution and apologized for the oversight of not including it in the meeting package. This is the same amendment that is presented in May of each year. He explained that the draft UPWP that is adopted in March is developed using third quarter reports for projects expected to carryover into the new fiscal year. The updated tables reflect the fourth quarter billings. He asked the Committee if there are any questions.

**Dan Hallowell moved to recommend *amending the FY 2011-2012 UPWP to reflect the contribution of actual FY 2010-2011 rollover amounts*; Todd Gadd seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

- c. Recommendations to the GTC Board concerning **accepting submission of reports as evidence of completion of various UPWP Tasks**

Kris Hughes suggested that proposed Council Resolutions 11-53 through 11-55 be considered as a single action; no Member or Alternate objected.

1. Action concerning **recommending the acceptance of the executive summary, *Supplemental Professional Services – Database Programming, as evidence of completion of UPWP Task 1200*** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-53

James Stack presented the executive summary for Supplemental Professional Services – Database Programming project. Jim discussed the objective and the approach of the project. He noted that the project ultimately focused on the database to manage the TIP. The project resulted in a new database built on the SQL database platform. The SQL database stores the information and is accessed via an internet browser. Crystal Reports is used to display the data. Jim highlighted the benefits of the new database.

2. Action concerning **recommending the acceptance of the report, *Audible/Tactile Pedestrian Signal Device Study, as evidence of completion of UPWP Task 5530*** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-54

Jim Pond presented the Audible/Tactile Pedestrian Signal Device Study. Jim noted this project is one of the first in the nation to be done using a proactive approach. This study sought to evaluate intersections in Monroe County and rank them according to the need. He noted that, as part of the project, criteria and a methodology were developed to evaluate intersections.

Richard Perrin noted that the color graphics did not photocopy well but were made available via the GTC website, as indicated in the transmittal memo.

Jim Fletcher asked what intersections were covered in the study. Jim Pond responded that all intersections under Monroe County jurisdiction were surveyed as part of the study.

Dan Hallowell asked if MCDOT has a budget for implementation. Jim Pond responded that MCDOT has \$30,000 per year budgeted at this time.

Jim Stack asked if the evaluation methods can be applied to intersections in other jurisdictions. Jim Pond responded in the affirmative.

3. Action concerning **recommending the acceptance of the report, *Rochester Center City Circulator Study*, as evidence of completion of UPWP Task 6352** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-55

Erik Frisch discussed the Rochester Center City Circulator Study. The project was guided by a multi-agency advisory committee. He noted a parking demand survey was completed by downtown employees which measured the elasticity in the demand for parking compared to the monthly cost. A best practices review was undertaken to review successful circulator systems elsewhere across the nation. The consultant provided five alternatives with two to be considered further. Overall there was a preference in the community for alternative number three. A variety of funding streams have been proposed. The end goal is to have the service fully operational by fall 2013.

Richard Perrin noted that the color graphics did not photocopy well but were made available via the GTC website, as indicated in the transmittal memo.

Dan Hallowell asked if there is a common funding stream for those areas that were reviewed as part of the best practices. Erik noted parking fees and parking lot charges were used in Grand Rapids. However, that approach would most likely not be as successful in Rochester. Several areas used a Business Improvement District fee, an option that is being explored further.

**Kevin Rooney moved to recommend approval of Proposed Council Resolutions 11-53 through 11-55; Tom Goodwin seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

- d. Action and Recommendations to the GTC Board concerning **amendments to the *2011-2014 TIP***

Richard Perrin noted that at the April 24, 2011 TIP Development Committee meeting a review of projects currently scheduled to have funds obligated for construction through May 2012 was conducted with project sponsors. This review identified project adjustments to ensure that federal funds are obligated and not lost to the region. Some of these adjustments are reflected in the TIP amendments to be considered at this meeting.

Kris Hughes suggested that proposed Council Resolutions 11-57 through 11-80 be considered as a single action; no Member or Alternate objected.

1. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by increasing the cost and modifying the schedule of the John Street Extension, Bailey Rd. to Lehigh Station Rd. project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-57 (Monroe County)
2. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by increasing the cost of the Kirk Road Bridge over Round Pond Creek project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-58 (Monroe County)
3. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by deferring a portion of a phase of the Inner Loop East project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-59 (NYSDOT)
4. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by combining five City of Rochester highway preventive maintenance projects** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-60 (NYSDOT)
5. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by deleting phases from the CR 26 (Bullis Rd.) Bridge over Cayuga Creek Preventive Maintenance project and adding the same phases to the Wyoming County Bridge Preventive Maintenance project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-61 (NYSDOT)
6. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by modifying the scope and increasing the cost of the Alderman Road Bridge project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-62 (NYSDOT)
7. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by advancing phases of the Yellow Mills Road Bridge over Ganargua Creek project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-63 (NSYDOT)
8. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by deferring phases of the Black Street over Mud Creek project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-64 (NYSDOT)
9. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by modifying the scope and increasing the cost of the Maple Beach Hill Road project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-65 (NYSDOT)
10. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by combining four Livingston County bridge preventive maintenance projects** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-66 (NYSDOT)
11. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by increasing the cost of the Wildcat Road Bridge over Wildcat Gully project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-67 (NYSDOT)
12. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by increasing the cost of the Swanson Road Bridge over Buck Run Creek project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-68 (NYSDOT)
13. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by deferring a portion of phases of the Highland Park / Canalway Connector Trail project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-69 (NYSDOT)

14. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by deleting the Oak Orchard Road Bridge over Manning Muckland Creek project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-70 (NYSDOT)
15. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by increasing the cost of the I-390 Interchange Improvements @ Rt. 15A (Exit 16 Part 1) project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-71 (NYSDOT)
16. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by increasing the cost of the I-390 Interchange Improvements @ Rt. 15 (Exit 16 Part 2) project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-72 (NYSDOT)
17. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by adding the Interstate Overhead Sign Structure Improvement (2012) project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-73 (NYSDOT)
18. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by adding the I-390 Culvert Lining/Repairs project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-74 (NYSDOT)
19. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by adding the Portageville Bridge project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-75 (NYSDOT)
20. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by modifying the source of a portion of the funding for the I-590 Interchange at Winton Road project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-76 (NYSDOT)
21. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by renaming and increasing the cost of the Replace 4 RTS Transit Buses (FFY 2010) project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-77 (RGRTA)
22. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by renaming and increasing the cost of the Replace 33 Transit Buses (FFY 2012) project** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-78 (RGRTA)
23. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by adding four Recreational Trails Program projects** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-79 (NYSDOT)
24. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning **amending the 2011-2014 TIP by modifying the schedules of two CMAQ-funded projects** / Proposed Council Resolution 11-80 (GTC staff)

**Kevin Rooney moved to recommend approval Proposed Council Resolutions 11-57 through 11-80; Andrea Guzzetta seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

## **6. New Business**

Richard Perrin distributed additional proposed amendments and a proposed

administrative modification to the *2011-2014 TIP* that were developed after the Planning Committee mailing package was sent out.

Richard suggested that proposed Council Resolutions 11-81 through 11-87 be considered as a single action while the remaining Resolutions be considered separately; no Member or Alternate objected.

1. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by adding a phase to and decreasing the cost of the Jefferson Avenue, Ayrault Road to Route 31F project / Proposed Council Resolution 11-56

**Terry Rice moved to recommend approval of Proposed Council Resolution 11-56; Todd Gadd seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

Richard Perrin discussed the High Risk Rural Roads program as related to proposed Council Resolutions 11-81 through 11-87.

2. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by deleting the High Risk Rural Road Improvements for 2012 project and adding the High Risk Rural Road Improvement in Wyoming County project / Proposed Council Resolution 11-81
3. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by deleting the High Risk Rural Road Improvements for 2011 project / Proposed Council Resolution 11-82
4. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by decreasing the cost of the Highway Safety Improvement Block Program project / Proposed Council Resolution 11-83
5. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by deleting the High Risk Rural Road Improvements for 2013 project and adding the High Risk Rural Road Improvement in Ontario County project / Proposed Council Resolution 11-84
6. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by increasing the cost of the Rt. 251 Rehabilitation project / Proposed Council Resolution 11-85
7. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by increasing the cost of the CR 10 Intersections Improvement and CR 10 Preventive Maintenance project) / Proposed Council Resolution 11-86
8. Recommendation to the GTC Board concerning amending the *2011-2014 TIP* by increasing the cost of the High Risk Rural Road Improvements for 2014 project / Proposed Council Resolution 11-87

Terry Rice noted that he did not recall recommending funding for a project for Ontario County. Richard responded that those discussions took place at the County Highway Superintendents meeting so he cannot comment on those discussions. The projects presented here are based on information from NYSDOT.

**Jim Fletcher moved to recommend approval of Proposed Council Resolutions 11-81 through 11-87; Timothy Hens seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

9. Action concerning modifying the *2011-2014 TIP* by modifying the costs of select phases of the High Street Reconstruction project / Proposed Planning Committee Resolution 11-4

Richard Perrin explained this is an earmark project and will not affect funding for other projects in the *2011-2014 TIP*.

**Kevin Rooney moved to approve Proposed Planning Committee Resolution 11-4; David Cook seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

Richard Perrin distributed a clarification to the *TIP Procedures Manual* that was approved by the TIP Development Committee and an additional proposed modification to the *2011-2014 TIP* that were developed after the Planning Committee mailing package was sent out.

He explained that Monroe County approached GTC noting that they are required to analyze treatments during the design phase. This sometimes results in a less intense project scope than originally proposed. Previously, the TIP Procedures Manual did not explicitly discuss this situation. The GTC TIP refers to a project type which is different than what FHWA defines as the scope. FHWA does not consider a change in project type to be a scope change.

The change to the *TIP Procedures Manual* clarifies this distinction between project type and project scope. If the required design evaluation results in a project type change to a less intense treatment then it can be made as an Administrative Modification.

1. Action concerning modifying the *2011-2014 TIP* by modifying the project type and project description of the Edgemere Drive Bridge over Round Pond Outlet project) / Proposed Planning Committee Resolution 11-3

**Jim Fletcher moved to recommend approval of Proposed Council Resolution 11-3; Erik Frisch seconded the motion. The motion passed unopposed.**

## **7. Public Forum**

No one from the public spoke during the public forum.

Kevin Rooney announced that Sharon Lilla is retiring as the Director of the Wayne County Planning Department.

## **8. Next Meeting**

Next Meeting: July, 14 at the Wayne County Courthouse.

**9. Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 11:59 p.m.